

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
-United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	<b>š</b> i.		www.uspto.gov	t.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,751	06/22/2001	Steven S. Kantner	55980USA1B.004	7737
75	00/05/2002			
Attention: Robert W. Sprague Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER	
St. Paul, MN 5	5133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	1
,			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/887,751	KANTNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	_Examiner						
The HALL WAS DATE AND	Gina C. Yu	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period f r R ply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a fit NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed lays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 Fohrung 2000						
	······						
20/	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) g is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)							
a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s)	Ovisional application has been rec	nivad					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>,, □ , , , , ,</b>						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) I I Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	cti n Summary	_					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of Amendment filed on February 19, 2002. Claims 1-16 are pending. Examiner notes that claim 16 was inadvertently left out from the rejection statement in the office action dated November 20, 2001. However, since the accompanied office action summary indicated the rejection of the all pending claims, and the explanation for rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of Bolich (US 5662892) was provided, it is viewed proper that the rejection of claim 16 is reinstated in this office action and now rejected under a new rejection. See previous office action dated November 20, 2001, page 3, lines 19-21.

The claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 in view of Mougin is withdrawn in view of applicants' remarks. New rejections are made in view of claim amendments made by applicants.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are rejected as being unpatentable over Bolich, Jr et al.
   (US 5662892) ("Bolich").

Bolich teaches hair styling/conditioning compositions containing the copolymers of C1-C18 alkyl esters of acrylic or methacrylic acid in aqueous carrier. See abstract. The monomers of instant claim 1(a)(i) such as n-butyl (meth)acrylate in the amount of

-Art-Unit:-1617-

10-95 wt %; and the monomers of instant claim 1(a)(ii) such as dimethyladamentyl (meth)acrylate or isobornyl (meth)acrylate are used in the amount of 10-50 wt %, meeting instant claims . See col. 4, line 47 - col. 5, line 30. The additives of instant claim 12, including thickeners, surfactants and silicone and oil conditioning agents, are disclosed in col. 7, line 55 - col. 21, line 56. Instant claim 11 is met by the disclosure that the linear copolymers form a film having a Tg of at least about 30 degree Celcius so that they are not unduly sticky or tacky to the touch. See col. 3, line 64 - col. 4, line 4. The aqueous carriers of instant claims 14-15, including water, ethanol and propanol are discussed in col. 7, lines 17-59. The solvents of instant claim 16, such as C10-C16 hydrocarbon, silicone derivatives, and ethers, isododecane being most preferred. See col. 6, line 28 - col. 7, line 25. As for instant claims 6 -8, examiner takes the position that, since Bolich discloses the composition having the same limitation of the instant claims, the undisclosed measurement of the tack or flexibility test value of the film formed by the composition and the average particle size of the copolymer, are characteristics that naturally flow from the prior art invention. While Bolich fails to explicitly mention that the composition form "hydrophobic" film, as recited in the amended instant claim 1, examiner views it obvious that the "film" formed from the hydrophobic linear copolymer is hydrophobic.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 19, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in part.

Art-Unit:-1617

Claim rejection in view of Bolich is maintained. Applicants argue Bolich does not anticipate the present invention, characterizing the prior art as a *solution* comprising a particular hydrophobic, volatile, branched hydrocarbon solvent. Examiner respectfully disagrees that the invention in Bolich is limited to a solution. Bolich teaches dispersion of copolymers throughout the carrier, which most preferably is water. See col. 7, lines 28 – 47. The hydrocarbon solvents disclosed in Bolich are also used in applicants' invention. Applicants' argument that they have found better film formation of the hydrocarbon solvents is persuasive since there is no evidence in the record supporting applicants' position, and the argument is not commensurate with the scope of the claims.

In addition, examiner notes that claim 9 fails to further limit claim 1, which is directed to a composition. Claim 9 recites a mere intended use of the composition in claim 1, which is not considered as a structural limitation.

### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on 703-308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner May 31, 2002 RUSSELL TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
SEROUP 1200